COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS NO.

## APPEAL TO ALABAMA COURT OF CRIMINAL APPEALS

## FROM

CIRCUIT COURT OF MONTGON		MERY	COUNTY,	ALABAMA	
!	CIRCUIT COUR	TNO.	CC 2002-1417		
f : :	CIRCUITJUDG	E	HOBBS		
Type of Conviction / O	rder Appealed Fr	om:	INTENTIONAL 1	MURDER	
Sentence Imposed: _			LIFE WITHOUT	PAROLE	
Defendant Indigent:	YES NO	)			
				D.	ARRYL JEVON JOYCE
AIMEE C. SMITH		(334)	264-6466		NAME OF APPELLANT
(Appellant's Attorney) 640 S. MCDOUNOUGH	STREET		(Telephone No.)		
(Address) MONTGOMERY	AL		36104		
(City)	(State)		(Zip Code)		
			v.		
STATE OF ALABA					
(State represented by Attorne) NOTE: If municipal appeal, name and address of munici	indicate above, and en	iter			NAME OF APPELLEE

(For Court of Criminal Appeals Use Only)

Part 5 of 5

1 bullet. 2 Q. So it went from looking -- if I 3 am looking at this right, it went from left 4 to right? 5 A. Yes, sir. 6 And the entry of that bullet 7 would have been approximately in the 8 individual's side about where I'm pointing? 9 Α. Yes, sir. Now, this wound, next wound that 10 11 is circled, I believe you marked as wound 12 number two; is that correct? 13 That's correct. Α. 14 What is this a photograph of? 15 This is a photograph of an 16 entrance wound on the left buttock and 17 there is a little ruler underneath it. It 18 has got that rim of -- it has got that 19 round circular entrance wound 20 characteristic. 21 Q. Doctor, I believe it is real 22 faint, but toward the top can you see wound 23 one as well? 24 Toward the top, there is also,

yes, wound one.

' <u>1</u>		Q. So these are on the same side of
2	t	the body?
3		A. Yes, sir.
4		Q. That's another characteristic of
5	ā	an entrance wound?
6		A. Yes.
7		Q. Now, again, another diagram has
8		come up showing the rear of the individual
9	V	with an arrow going across. Did you
10	F	prepare that diagram as well?
11		A. Yes, I did, sir.
12		Q. And what does the green arrow
13	i	indicate?
14		A. The direction of the bullet.
15		Q. Now, Doctor, did was there an
16	€	exit wound that corresponded to this
1 7	i	injury?
18		A. This bullet entered in the left
19	ŀ	outtock, traveled through the pelvis and
2 0	t	then it stopped in the right hip. The
21	þ	oullet stopped there in the right hip and
2 2	t	chat's where we recovered it from.
2 3		Q. So you were able to recover this
2 4	F	projectile?
2 5		A. Yes, sir.

A. Yes, sir.

1	Q. Now what is that a photograph of?
2	A. That's the projectile I
3	recovered.
4	Q. Also we have got a larger
5	photograph admitted as State's 31. Do you
6	recognize that?
7	A. Yes, sir.
8	Q. This is the actual physical
9	bullet you took out of the victim?
10	A. Yes, sir.
11	Q. Now, Doctor, let's talk about the
12	final wound, number three. What is that a
13	photograph of that just appeared?
1 4	A. That's a photograph of an
15	entrance gunshot wound in the left thigh.
16	Q. And the blue circle in the
17	photograph that just appeared?
18	A. Of an exit gunshot wound in
19	the
20	Q. So we have a matching entrance
2 1	and exit wound?
2 2	A. Yes. The bullet simply went
2 3	through the left thigh.
2 4	Q. Again, we have a diagram of an
2 5	arrow. You prepared that diagram?

1	A. Yes, sir.
2	Q. And what does the green arrow
3	indicate?
4	A. The direction of the bullet.
5	Q. Now, Doctor, the angles are a
6	little bit confusing from time to time. So
7	is this a diagram of all three entrance
8	wounds?
9	A. Yes, sir.
10	Q. And what do the green arrows
11	indicate?
1 2	A. They indicate the direction of
1 3	the path of the bullet through the body.
1 4	Q. So he was all shot in the same
1 5	direction from the same side based on a
16	medical examination of the entrance wounds?
1 7	A. Yes, sir.
18	Q. And the photograph that just
1 9	appeared, what is that a photograph of?
2 0	A. It shows the side of his body and
2 1	the three entrance gunshot wounds.
2 2	Q. Now, Doctor, were you able to
2 3	determine a cause of death for James
2 4	Friendly?
5	A Vec cir

1	Q. What?
2	A. Multiple gunshot wounds.
3	MR. POWELL: I believe that's all
4	the questions I have for the doctor,
5	Judge.
6	MR. HARTLEY: No cross
7	examination.
8	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you,
9	Doctor.
10	MR. POWELL: Your Honor, I
11	believe the State is going to call Nicole
12	Judkins to the stand.
13	NICOLE JUDKINS,
14	having been first duly sworn, was examined
15	and testified as follows:
16	DIRECT EXAMINATION
17	BY MR. POWELL:
18	Q. Could you state your name for the
19	jury?
2 0	A. Nicole Judkins.
21	Q. Nicole, I'm going to need you to
2 2	speak up a little bit for me. Okay?
2 3	A. Okay.
2 4	Q. Back in February of 2002, about
2 5	the 1st, were you hosting a birthday party?

1	A. $Y \in S$ .
2	Q. Over at your house?
3	A. Yes.
4	Q. Where were you living at the
5	time?
6	A. Smiley Court.
7	Q. Over in Smiley Court? I'm going
8	to show you State's 11. Do you recognize
9	that?
10	A. Yes.
11	Q. Is that your apartment over at
12	Smiley Court?
13	A. Yes, sir.
14	Q. And just for the Record, is
15	Smiley Court the area where you were living
16	when all this occurred? Is that here in
17	Montgomery County?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. State's 12, is this a closeup
2 0	photograph of the apartment where you were
2 1	living?
2 2	A. Yes.
2 3	Q. And you were having a birthday
2 4	party at that apartment for who?
2 5	A. For my boyfriend.

1	Q. What was his name?
2	A. Christopher McQueen.
3	Q. Some people call him Flip?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. So it was Flip's birthday. About
6	how many you don't have to know the
7	exact number. About how many people were
8	over at your house that day that night
9	for the party? Can you even say?
10	A. I don't know. It was so many.
11	Q. A lot? Like more than thirty?
12	A. Not that much.
13	Q. Not that much. But a lot of
1 4	people?
15	A. Yeah.
16	Q. And a lot of coming and going?
1 7	A. Yeah.
18	Q. Did you ever see the defendant at
19	that party?
2 0	A. No.
21	Q. You never saw him over there.
2 2	Did you ever see an individual name Boo or
2 3	James Friendly at that party?
2 4	A. Boo was not at the party. He was
2 5	outside.

1	Q. He was outside?
2	A. Yeah.
3	Q. Did you ever see an individual
4	named Darryl Foggy at the party?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. Okay. He was there.
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. Now, were you outside when the
9	shooting occurred?
10	A. No.
11	Q. You weren't. How did you know
1 2	about it?
1 3	A. I heard the gunshots.
1 4	Q. You heard the gunshots. Do you
15	have any idea where Darryl Foggy was when
16	the shooting occurred?
17	A. He was in my house then.
18	Q. You saw him in your house
19	somewhere around the time the shooting
2 0	occurred?
2 1	A. Yes.
2 2	Q. Do you know where Darryl Joyce
2 3	was when the shooting occurred?
2 4	A. When I came out the door, he was
2 5	leaving.

1	Q. He was leaving. Describe for the
2	jury how he was leaving.
3	A. In a blue truck or a blue
4	sidekick, something.
5	Q. You saw him in a blue truck
6	leaving the scene?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. Where was that blue truck? Which
9	side of your apartment was it on?
10	A. It was in the parking lot.
11	Q. In the parking lot. Okay. Now
12	did you ever see James Friendly again at
13	that party?
14	A. Yes.
15	Q. Where was Boo?
16	A. He was laying on the ground.
17	Q. Was he shot?
18	A. Yes.
19	Q. Okay. Now, when you saw Darryl
2 0	Foggy at this party, did you you did see
21	him with a gun, didn't you?
2 2	A. I seen the gun in his pocket.
2 3	Q. You seen a gun in his pocket.
2 4	Describe for the jurors how it was in his
2 5	pocket.

1	A. In his front pocket.
2	Q. In the front pocket. And what
3	did that gun look like when it was in his
4	pocket?
5	A. I didn't see the gun. I just
6	seen the
7	Q. The handle?
8	A. Yeah.
9	Q. What did the handle look like?
10	A. It was black.
11	Q. So the handle of the gun was
1 2	black when you saw it in his front pocket?
13	A. (Witness nodding head
14	affirmatively.)
15	Q. Did you see who shot James
16	Friendly?
17	A. No.
18	Q. Do you know whether or not Darryl
19	Foggy shot James Friendly?
2 0	MR. HARTLEY: Objection, Your
2 1	Honor. She doesn't know who shot him.
2 2	A. He was in the house during the
2 3	shooting. That's what I'm saying.
2 4	MR. POWELL: Nothing further,
2 5	Judge.

1	CROSS-EXAMINATION
2	BY MR. HARTLEY:
3	Q. Ms. Judkins, let's go back over
4	this again, okay. Of course, you were
5	having a birthday party out there that
6	night, right?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. Now, the events that Mr. Powell
9	was asking you about didn't happen until
10	about 11:30 or so, right?
11	A. I guess so. I'm not sure.
12	Q. You don't remember what time a
13	shooting took place at your own home?
14	A. No, I do not because it was not
15	at my home.
16	Q. Where was it?
17	A. It was outside my home.
18	Q. How close would it be then?
19	A. Well, I don't know. I mean, it
2 0	was a party. People was drinking and
21	stuff. So I don't know.
22	Q. Were you drinking?
2 3	A. Yes.
2 4	Q. Were you using any illegal drugs?
2 5	A. No.

1		Q.	0 }	cay	γ.		W	ſe:	re		p	e ı	: ន	0 1	n s	3	-	-		w e	er	е				
2	peopl	e at	у	oui	r	p	a r	t	Y	u	s	ir	ıg	:	i]	11	e	g	a	1	đ	rı	ı g	s?	?	
3		Α.	I	d c	o n	11	t	k ı	n o	w	-							•								
4		Q.	No	w,	,	у	o u	ı ç	ga	v	e	ć	ì	s t	t a	a t	e	m	e	n t	-	to	)			
5	Detec	tive	Н	wt	. 0	n	,	ď:	i d	n	1	t	У	01	ı,	ÌI	a	b	0	u t	_	6	: 0	0	i	r
6	the m	nornin	g.	,	D	0	У	01	ı	r	e	m e	e m	be	e 1	2	t	a i	1	k i	in	g	t	0	a	
7	Monto	omery	· I	00]	li	. C 6	9	0 1	Εf	i	C (	e 1	•	aı	ם כ	Î	t	h	a	t						
8	state	ment	b e	eir	ıg	, ,	re	C	or	d	e (	d	b	У	a	à	v	i	d	e c	t	аŗ	рe	C	r	
9	a tap	e rep	01	rt e	er	. (	or	. 8	30	m	e ·	t ł	ıi	nç	9 ?	•										
10		Α.	I	b€	∍ 1	ie	€v	е	_	-	,	yε	a	h,	,	I		b	e	l i	e	v e	5	sc	٠.	
11	It's	been	5 (	) ]	lο	ng	J •																			
12		Q.	I	jυ	ıs	t	W	ar	nt		t (	0	k	no	> W	J	i	£		уc	o u	ŀ	ı a	VΕ	ž	
13	giver	a st	a t	en	n e	nt	Ξ.		I		h a	аv	r e	ć	ā	С	0	p:	У	c	f	j	Lt	i	. n	
14	my ha	nd.																								
15		Α.	Y ∈	es.																						
16		Q.	Υc	u	h	a v	/e	n '	t		s	e e	n	2	<i>7</i> C	u	r	:	s	t a	ιt	eπ	aе	n t	: ,	
17	have	you?	Y	? o u	1	h a	v	e r	י ר	t	1	s e	e e	n	a	ì	С	0 <u>]</u>	p;	У	0	f	t	hi	. s	
18	state	ment	рr	ev	γi	οι	ı s	13	7,		h a	a v	e	3	<i>?</i> C	u	?									
19		Α.	Nc																							
2 0		Q.	I'	m	g	0 1	i n	g	t	0		s.h	0	W	i	. t		t	0	У	<i>r</i> 0	u	i	n		
21	just	a mom	e r	ıt.		I	3 u	t	1	e	t	n	۱e	ā	a	s k		у	<b>)</b> 1	u,		d c	>	ус	u	
2 2	remem	ber i	f	w h	ı e	n	У	01	1	w	e :	r∈	2	tá	a l	k	i	n	3	t	0					
2 3	Detec	tive	НС	wt	. 0	n,		d i	id		У	ວບ	L	tε	<b>=</b> 1	. 1		h:	iı	n	a	bc	u	t		
2 4	Darry	l Fog	дy	h	ı a	vj	in	g	a	1	gι	ı n	?													
2 5		Α.	Υe	s.																						

1	Q. Do you know what became of that
2	gun in the minutes after this event took
3	place? Do you know what happened to that
4	gun?
5	A. No.
6	Q. What did Darryl Foggy do at or
7	about the time of the that y'all heard
8	the gunshots go off?
9	A. Well, we were in the kitchen then
10	but I know after the shooting he left. I
11	don't know where he went.
1 2	Q. Well, did he leave from the
13	kitchen and then go out the front door and
14	just part or did he go by where James
15	Friendly was and Rabbit were and those
16	people?
17	A. I didn't see him out there. I
18	don't remember seeing nobody but me and
19	Rabbit was out there.
2 0	Q. You don't remember seeing Darryl
2 1	Foggy out there?
2 2	A. No.
2 3	Q. One moment, please. Let me show
2 4	you on page six of your statement. You
2 5	have admitted or acknowledge that you did

1 talk to a police officer. I'm going to 2 show you what appears to be a statement taken by Corporal E.E. Howton, your 3 statement on February the 2nd, and 4 5 beginning time is 6:24 in the morning, 6 right? 7 Uh-huh (indicating yes). 8 And going over -- much further 9 over into page six, they ask you, does he 10 normally carry a gun? And you said -- who 11 are you talking about right here when you 12 said when you came in you saw a gun on him. 13 Who were you talking about? 14 Α. That was Darryl -- I mean the 15 other, D. 16 0. Let's just use last names so 17 we'll be sure. There are two Darryls. 18 I don't know the last names. 19 D. Well, you called him D. 20 I don't know either one of them 21 really, you know. 22 You don't know them very well but 23 you know that he carries a gun, don't you, 24 Darryl Foggy?

A. I seen him with one.

1	Q. And they asked, how did you see
2	it, and you said you saw it, it was in his
3	back pocket. Right?
4	A. It was in his front pocket.
5	Q. Well, why did they write on there
6	because it was in his back pocket?
7	A. I don't know. It been so long
8	since I have had to go through this.
9	Q. But then Officer Howton asked you
10	this question: Okay. But right after the
11	shooting he left? And your answer was
12	what? Would you read that to the jury
13	please?
1 4	A. Well, he'd been shot. He had to
15	be shot because the man dead. Yes. He
16	disappeared.
1 7	Q. You said yes, he disappeared.
18	But yes, he disappeared. You are referring
19	to Darryl Foggy as disappearing right?
2 0	A. Yes. He left.
21	Q. All right. Now, did you discuss
2 2	this matter about Darryl Foggy leaving
2 3	right after this event took place with Mr.
2 4	Howton before the statement was taken? Do
2 5	you remember if you sat down with Detective

1	Howton and talked about it and y'all went
2	through a preliminary interview? Do you
3	remember if that happened or if it didn't
4	happen?
5	A. I don't know.
6	Q. Did you ever tell Officer Howton
7	that you went outside and saw Darryl Foggy
8	out there and that he fled the scene from
9	the outside?
10	A. Yes, I remember going outside
11	after the shooting. I mean, it been so
12	long I don't remember. I just went out
13	there just to see was he all right. That's
1 4	all.
15	Q. If Darryl Foggy wasn't involved,
16	why do you think he ran from the scene?
17	A. I don't know that.
18	Q. And you don't know Darryl Joyce
19	at all, the man sitting there at the end of
2 0	the table?
21	A. No.
2 2	MR. HARTLEY: Thank you. No
2 3	further questions.
2 4	MR. POWELL: Nothing further,
2 5	Judge.

1	THE COURT: Okay. Thank you
2	ma'am.
3	MR. HARTLEY: Judge, we are going
4	to call I have already told Counsel we
5	are going to want to call Mr. Howton back
6	to the stand.
7	MR. POWELL: At this time, Your
8	Honor, the State rests. Hang on one
9	second. I'm sorry. Before we rest, I
10	think I don't know if Mr. Hartley has
11	got an objection to it. Again, we can call
12	Detective Howton to cure it, but I think I
13	forgot to move to admit 21. I will move to
1 4	admit that at this time.
1 5	MR. HARTLEY: So for the Record,
1 6	what is 21?
1 7	MR. POWELL: The gun.
18	THE COURT: The gun.
1 9	MR. POWELL: Do you have any
2 0	objection to that?
21	MR. HARTLEY: We are going to
2 2	call him back anyway.
2 3	MR. POWELL: I will move to admit
2 4	State's 21 as far the State's case in
25	chief. With that being done, the State

1 rests. 2 (State's Exhibit Number 21 admitted into evidence.) 3 4 THE COURT: Folks, why don't we 5 take about a five minute break. 6 MR. HARTLEY: Judge, could we ask 7 for a little longer. We have motions to 8 do. 9 THE COURT: Be back in the jury 10 assembly room at 11:15. 1 1 (Brief Recess.) 12 (The following was held outside 13 the presence and hearing of the jury.) 14 MR. HARTLEY: Judge, we would make a motion for a judgment of an 15 16 acquittal on behalf of Mr. Darryl Joyce. 17 We submit that the State has failed to make 18 a prima facie case of murder in this matter 19 against Darryl Joyce. We submit that the 20 State has shown no motive. We submit that 21 they have shown no witnesses who have any 22 credibility that could tie Darryl Joyce to 23 the death of James Friendly, and we ask the Court to dismiss these charges and not 24 25 allow this case to go to the jury. Failure

```
1
           to a amke prima facie case.
 2
                      THE COURT: Denied.
 3
                      MR. HARTLEY: No response from
 4
           the State, Judge?
 5
                      THE COURT: I don't need one.
 6
                      MR. HARTLEY: Okay. Judge, I
 7
           think we can do this without the jury. We
 8
           did not offer -- and I think the state
 9
           will.
10
                      MR. POWELL: I have no objection.
11
                      MR. HARTLEY: We'll call it
12
           Defendant's Exhibit 1, but it is also
13
           State's Exhibit 26 but you never offered
           it, did you?
14
15
                      MR. POWELL: I never offered it.
16
                      MR. HARTLEY: It is just marked
17
           State's Exhibit Number 1.
18
                      MS. PERKINS: It's State's 26.
19
                     MR. HARTLEY: 26.
20
                     MS. PERKINS: Put a Defendant's 1
21
           on there.
22
                      (Defendant's Exhibit Number 1
23
           admitted into evidence.)
24
                     (The following was held in the
25
           presence and hearing of the jury.)
```

		3 2 0	
ı			
	1	E.E. HOWTON,	
	2	having been previously sworn, was examined	
	3	and testified as follows:	
	4	DIRECT EXAMINATION	
	5	BY MR. HARTLEY:	
	6	Q. Thank you for coming back,	
	7	Officer Howton. Let me pick up with your	
	8	this one matter I would like to ask you	
	9	about at this point.	
1	0	We have heard testimony since you	u
1	1	left the stand from a Nicole Judkins.	
1	2	A. Yes, sir.	
1	3	Q. Are you familiar with who she is	?
1	4	A. Yes, sir.	
1	5	Q. And back at the time of this	
1	6	event, more specifically on February the	
1	7	2nd, 2002, did you take a statement from	
1	8	her?	
1	9	A. Yes.	
2	0	Q. So information from her would be	
2	1	included in your case file in your	
2	2	investigation; is that right?	

Q. Now, her statement is recorded,

of course, and put into paper form as a

A. Yes.

1	matter of routine, right?
2	A. Yes, sir.
3	Q. Now, you also prepared, because
4	we have already talked about it, incident
5	reports where you include information that
6	you compiled into this report, right?
7	A. Yes.
8	Q. Let me get you to refer to the
9	same one that I asked you about before, the
10	lengthy report that is dated 2/5/02. Page
11	five. Page five at the bottom.
12	A. Okay.
13	Q. And in this you are referencing
14	information that you obtained from Nicole
15	Judkins, did you not?
16	A. Yes, sir.
17	Q. Would you read the first six
18	lines of that down to the one where the
19	sentence ends with the word ground, and I
2 0	think you will see that. What did you
21	record as to what she had told you about
2 2	Darryl Foggy?
2 3	A. You want me to read this?
2 4	Q. I would appreciate it.
2 5	A. Okay. According to Nicole

1	Judkins, she was in the kitchen when a
2	black female she identified as Kesha
3	Billups came in the kitchen area and told
4	her that someone was fighting outside. She
5	stated that she then went outside at which
6	time she observed Eric Stewart, a/k/a
7	Rabbit, and Darryl Foggy, a/k/a D,
8	disappear and the victim James Friendly on
9	the ground.
10	Q. Did you get that information from
11	her?
12	A. Yes.
13	Q. And in that you said that she
14	went outside and named these following
15	people, Eric Stewart and saw Darryl Foggy,
16	right?
17	A. Yes.
18	Q. And then at that combined in
19	that sentence, she said Darryl Foggy left
2 0	the scene, right?
21	A. Yes.
2 2	Q. Nowhere in there did you record

that Darryl Foggy was inside the apartment,

A. Not in that particular area, no.

23

24

25

did you?

_	Q. I don't think you did anywhere,
2	did you? I'm talking about at the time of
3	the shooting.
4	A. I don't think so, no.
5	MR. HARTLEY: Thank you, no
6	further questions.
7	CROSS-EXAMINATION
8	BY MR. POWELL:
9	Q. Are you trying to deny that
10	initially there were two suspects developed
1 1	in this case?
12	A. No.
1 3	Q. And who were those two suspects
1 4	again?
1 5	A. Darryl Joyce and Darryl Foggy.
16	Q. And is the information Mr.
17	Hartley keeps pointing out part of the
18	factual basis used to develop Darryl Foggy
1 9	as a suspect?
2 0	A. Yes.
2 1	Q. And is that the same factual
2 2	information that y'all followed up on?
2 3	A. Yes.
2 4	Q. Until the point you recovered
2 5	State's 21?

1	A. Yes.
2	Q. And I believe Ms. Richart
3	testified that she tested this gun, did she
4	not?
5	A. Yes.
6	Q. And did it match the bullets that
7	were dug out of James Friendly's body?
8	A. No.
9	Q. When you went looking for Darryl
10	Foggy, were you able to find him?
11	A. Yes.
12	Q. When you went looking for Darryl
13	Joyce, were you able to find him?
14	A. $N \circ$ .
15	Q. Where did Darryl Joyce eventually
16	end up?
17	A. Los Angeles, California.
18	Q. And who did you sign warrants on?
19	A. Darryl Joyce.
2 0	Q. Why?
21	A. Because all the information I had
22	at that point directed the investigation in
2 3	his direction.
2 4	MR. POWELL: Nothing further,
2 5	Judge.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

2	BY MR. HARTLEY:	
3	Q. Were the people who were hiding	
4	that gun hiding the evidence from a crime	
5	scene?	
6	A. Yes.	
7	Q. If somebody picked up those	
8	bullets and took them away from the scene	,
9	would they be hiding evidence from a crim	е
10	scene?	
11	A. Yes.	
12	Q. And you have a witness who	
13	identified Darryl Foggy positively,	
14	according to your records, as the person	
15	who did this crime, right?	
16	A. Yes.	
17	Q. And who was that?	
18	A. Mr. Thomas.	
19	Q. Bryan Thomas?	
20	A. Yes.	
21	Q. And he was interviewed at what	
22	date on what location?	
2 3	A. He was interviewed that Saturda	У
2 4	and what was the other part of that	
2 5	question?	

1 1	Q. where did you interview him?
2	A. At headquarters.
3	Q. As a result of that, that photo
4	lineup with Darryl Foggy's picture
5	identified on it was generated, right?
6	A. Yes.
7	MR. HARTLEY: Thank you. No
8	further questions.
9	RECROSS - EXAMINATION
10	BY MR. POWELL:
11	Q. Detective Howton, how many people
1 2	that were outside at that party are you
13	familiar that other people showed up after
1 4	the shooting occurred?
15	A. Well, there were supposed to be
16	numerous people at the party when all this
17	was going on.
18	Q. And after the shooting occurred,
19	waiting on the paramedics, other people
2 0	started coming out of Smiley Court; is that
21	right?
2 2	A. Yes.
2 3	Q. How many of those people said
2 4	they saw people looking through the grass
2 5	picking up shell casings?

1	A. Nobody.
2	MR. POWELL: Nothing further.
3	REDIRECT EXAMINATION
4	BY MR. HARTLEY:
5	Q. How many people were asked that
6	question?
7	A. Nobody.
8	MR. HARTLEY: Thank you.
9	MR. POWELL: Nothing further.
10	THE COURT: Is that it? Thank
11	you, sir. Can he be released?
12	MR. HARTLEY: I think we are
13	through, Judge.
14	(Off-the-Record Discussion.)
15	MR. HARTLEY: Judge, that's our
16	last witness so the defense rests. We are
1 7	going to renew that motion that we made so
18	I want to be sure that's on the Record.
19	THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen,
2 0	why don't we do this. Why don't we kind of
21	take a break for an early lunch. Would
2 2	anybody have a problem being back here at
2 3	about 12:30? All right. If y'all could be
2 4	back here at 12:30, we will go to the
2 5	closing arguments and I will give you the

1 law in the case and we'll turn the case 2 over to y'all. So be back in the jury assembly room at 12:30. 3 Remember, don't talk to anybody 4 about the case. Don't let anybody talk to 5 you about the case. If anybody attempts to 6 talk to you about the case, please let us 7 8 know. Don't even talk to each other about 9 the case. Okay. Thank you. 10 (Lunch Recess.) 11 (The following proceedings were 12 held outside the presence and hearing of the jury.) 13 THE COURT: Take a look at the 14 15 verdict form and tell me what you think. 16 MR. POWELL: The state is 17 satisfied with the jury form, Judge. MR. HARTLEY: Satisfied. 18 19 (The jury enters the courtroom.) 20 THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen 21 of the jury, we are now through with the 22 trial where the attorneys will make their 23 closing arguments to you. I will remind 24 you that what the attorneys say is not 25 evidence in the case. They will simply try

to persuade you that you should vote for an acquittal in the case of the defense or guilty in the case of the prosecution.

They will be telling you what they recall the facts of the case to be.

You are not bound by the attorneys' recollection of the facts but you should rely on your own recollection if it is different from how the attorneys remember it. Mr. Powell.

MR. POWELL: May it please the Court, counsel. Members of the jury, back on February the 1st of last year an argument occurred outside of a birthday party over who was tougher than who or whose set was better than whose set, or whatever the reason the argument occurred. But this man, the defendant, pulled out a gun and shot James Friendly dead.

State's 29, that's a photograph of Mr. James Friendly. That's the person who wasn't able to walk away from that argument. In other words, they were arguing over who was the baddest.

Unfortunately, it is clear that

Mr. Joyce won that argument that night because he jerked out a pistol and shot James Friendly dead over just some words that they were having. Nothing else. This was a senseless act of violence that should never have occurred.

But it occurred on that night because this defendant decided to arm himself with a gun, decided to go to that party, decided to keep that gun in his pants where he could get to it at a moment's notice, decided that those words or that argument escalated to the point he was going to kill someone. Then decided to reach into his waistband, pull out that gun, point it at Boo and pull the trigger, not once, not twice, three times. Maybe more.

That, members of the jury, is an intentional act. There is no question that the person that pulled the trigger of that gun intended to kill James Friendly.

The only issue that has even been brought up in this trial is do we have the right person. Let me be the first one to

tell you, if you go back in that jury room and do not believe beyond a reasonable doubt that Darryl Joyce is the man who pulled that trigger, by all means cut him loose. We are not trying to convict an innocent person.

But you heard the state's evidence from that witness stand. Eric Stewart was right in the middle of this argument. He was there. The person that was arguing and shooting was not this Darryl Foggy the defense keeps trying to inject into the case. It was that man sitting right there.

He was standing in the middle of the two of them trying to stop the argument when he pulled out the gun and shot him dead. There was an eye witness standing within feet of that man as he shot him dead.

Now, admittedly, I told you this in voir dire, I told you this in opening statements, he testified to it from the witness stand, it was a party. There was alcohol. There was drugs. That's

something to take into consideration. I'm not asking you to convict Darryl Joyce based solely on the testimony of Eric Stewart.

Whatever questions you may have in your mind about should I believe Eric Stewart, there is physical evidence and there are other eye witnesses, the Osborne brothers. Particularly, Brian Osborne said when he heard the shot he looked back over his shoulder and saw the fire coming from the gun. He was within feet -- remember, he was on the witness stand and I was standing about right here and he said he saw that man pull the gun, pull the trigger and shoot James Friendly dead.

evidence. They all told the story of where the shooting occurred, how it occurred. The shots were on the ground. The shots went into the truck. There are photographs of the truck. You see the shell casings. All of it is consistent with the story that the eye witnesses told.

That story is plain and it is

simple, that that man sitting right over there got into a senseless argument at a party and decided to pull a gun and shoot somebody dead. That's what happened in this case. That's what all of the evidence has pointed to. Thank you.

3 3 3

MR. HARTLEY: Thank you, Your Honor and Counsel. Good afternoon, members of the jury. I sure want to thank you for participating in our case. The role that the jury plays is so important. I won't belabor it. It is just essential that we have a system like we have. Ultimately cases are -- civil and criminal cases are handed to a jury and y'all are vested with a very heavy responsibility of doing a compound task of deciphering the facts and applying them to legal standards.

Judge Hobbs will give you some legal standards a little later that apply to this case and all criminal cases. He will give you a burden of proof type jury instruction. He will give you the elements of the offense of the general charges that go with you back to the jury room. You do

not get written charges so you will have to memorize this as it goes.

3 3 4

with all that being said, you gave an oath to be the jury in this case and you were summoned down here. I hope you weren't too inconvenienced. But this is so important. This is a major important case. All of them are important but this one is important because it is the one we are doing today. It involves serious charges.

I preface that to say that you are going to be applying a standard of proof beyond a reasonable doubt. The Judge will give you a definition of that, but I want to mention to you that that standard -- it is sort of unique to criminal cases in the legal system, and that standard is sort of an interesting concept because y'all are going to have to decide what beyond a reasonable doubt is.

I suggest to you it is a pretty high standard. We make decisions every day in our lives. I will try to use the example of people decide virtually every

day where they go to lunch or what they are going to do for lunch. Some days you go get a good lunch and some days you may pick out some place where the lunch wasn't so good and you reflect back on it and you say, you know, I wish I hadn't gone to that place. But you didn't really ponder on it real hard before you did it. Maybe on impulse you did it.

3 3 5

You applied a standard of probably just say there is a preponderance of my grounds for going to restaurant A or restaurant B or whatever. That's just one of the decisions we make in routine everyday life and we don't apply a beyond a reasonable doubt standard to such decisions.

But when things get real, real, real important, we apply a standard that is more like beyond a reasonable doubt. I think an example of that might be if you or somebody -- and I'm not going to make you particularly, but I'm talking about you in the general sense.

If you or a person is going to

face maybe some kind of major surgery and a doctor told them -- told you that you could take this major surgery and your problem might be cured, but on the other hand, the downside is you might not survive the surgery.

That's when you start getting to the situation where you put more weight into the decision and you might take in more factors. You might take in a second opinion. You might go see another doctor or research the topic yourself or put some tremendous amount of deep thought into it.

That's more of the kind of decision we are making now is the weight of this. The gravity of this matter is so high that the standard of proof is very high -- or the burden of proof is very high and the standard of proof is very high because the consequences are great, somewhat like it would be if you were facing major surgery. So I try to make that differentiation so you can see how important it is.

But let's go to this case now.

He is presumed innocent. You will hear a charge on that. I will submit to you that the state hasn't proved him guilty of anything because the quality of their evidence is not that good.

I got several areas I want to go into, and I apologize that I'm not the most organized person but I'm going to try to go to them. One of the things I mentioned in my opening was that one of the things you are going to need to take into consideration is the overall -- what did we call it -- I think I called it the circumstances or the conditions that night.

I'm going to submit to you the state got in a lot of exhibits and they got in a good bit of testimony, but I really like these two exhibits. Now, I know and I'm going to admit that a picture can't always capture what a scene looks like, but I submit to you that these are original photographs because they portray a very dark area, and I think these are more like the scene than, for instance, this

picture. I ask you to keep that in mind because it happened at 11:30 or a quarter to 12:00. It happened way up close to midnight.

The significance or the importance of that is it goes to all this testimony about who saw what and what they were able to observe. I think that the witnesses that the state tried to use overstated what could be seen and what could actually be observed.

I'm going to try to establish

that by -- let's use Eric Stewart, the

first witness, I think, whom we'll say that

his testimony or his ability to recall and

accurately testify in this case would be

affected by his alcohol use, his use of

cocaine on that evening, and I think that

it is illustrated by the way he changed his

statement when I cross-examined him and

tried to pin him down on where the first

shots were fired. I think Eric Stewart was

all over the place. Three different

versions: One time in the ground, one time

in the back of the truck, and another time

he shot the victim.

If he is such a spectacular or stellar eye witness, as Mr. Powell wants you to believe, then how could he account for such inconsistencies in his statement. He tried to implicate Darryl Joyce, but he also told us that he was very close friends with Darryl Joyce, that they had been raised together or they had been friends for a very, very, very long time.

I submit to you an overview of the evidence in this case would show that there could be a loyalty to Darryl Foggy -- I hope I didn't get the Darryls mixed up -- a loyalty to Darryl Foggy that would be strong enough for him to lie to him. I think that is verified by this episode of this gun being at the scene and then moved to the apartment.

Now, of course, he tried to disavow that he participated in hiding that gun, but I will suggest to you that raises two questions. If he didn't participate in hiding the gun then why did Detective Howton write in his report these exact

2.3

words, it's an open question as to why Eric Stewart aided in hiding the gun. You heard Mr. Howton say that he put that in his report.

And the second or the same related question is, if he didn't participate in hiding the gun, how did he no where it went and how did he know how to send Detective Howton to find the gun if he didn't know or have knowledge of it being hidden and where it was hidden.

I believe that Eric Stewart's testimony falls or fails for that reason to make any kind of proof beyond a reasonable doubt.

Now, let me go to two other witnesses I found interesting in this case. The Brian Osborne and Johnny Osborne witnesses, who, if you don't really analyze their testimony, seem like they really -- really were on the ball on the testimony. But I watched this and I'm sure y'all saw it, too. It was when Johnny Osborne was testifying, the state -- I'm not going to change it -- I'm going to use this

1 -- projected this up on there. I guess I 2 might could do it. 3 (Off-the-Record Discussion.) 4 Well, I'm going to point because 5 I might get confused. I will just point. It won't take but a second. The testimony 6 7 from Johnny Osborne was that the event took Я place on this side, or more particularly, 9 if he didn't -- wasn't specific about the 10 other side. I recall exactly that this is 11 where Eric Stewart said it was. He 12 described all the events, they had come out 13 of this apartment and that he and James 14 Friendly were right over in here to use 15 drugs or to have their conversation or 16 whatever. 17 But then when we got to Brian 18 Osborne, he moved it over to this side. 19 That's real interesting because it creates 20 a question now about who could see the 21 best. We don't know which side -- both of 22 them can't be correct. It can't be over 23 here, it can't be over here. I don't think

it can be in both places.

It is interesting that the shell

24

25

casings -- I think they said the shell casings from all the other testimony were found in this area, which would not resolve which side of the cut or this alley they were in. But then you put in this area right here where this car was, the Osborne vehicle, a Jeep-type vehicle, it looked like, backed into the parking place.

Brian Osborne starts testifying

-- if we just use this -- I will use this
if I can do it one more time right. Let's
use this hypothetically as the Jeep and
let's consider the front or back or

-- let's presume this is the front of the
Jeep and the back would be away from it.

If the shooting and all this activity took place over here, then the driver's side of the Jeep would have the better opportunity to observe because that person wouldn't be able to see over here. Again, and if it occurred over here, then, of course, Mr. Brian Osborne who said he was getting in the passenger side would have been able to observe what happened here but that completely contradicts Eric

2.2

Stewart's testimony. So we can't have
Brian Osborne's testimony that it happened
over here and Eric Stewart's testimony that
it happened -- Brian Osborne and Eric
Stewart who said it happened over here.
Those things are totally inconsistent.

3 4 3

And if the state thinks that you should reject Brian's testimony that it occurred over here and go with the fact that it took place over here, then that gives Johnny Osborne the better opportunity to observe. All this clouds the issue of what really happened out there because Brian Osborne is positive that there were three people out there. Johnny Osborne is positive that there were people out there were four or five people out there.

So which one is it? And I am sure the state would not say that that is an immaterial point. I think it would be of huge importance, who had the opportunity to observe when you talk about Brian or Johnny Osborne, because we have got different stories about where the whole thing happened.

1 It's undoubtedly dark out there, undoubtedly some confusion as to who and what position, et cetera, and that kind of stuff. Now, this all comes from the state's evidence. This does not -- this

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

was their witnesses. Oh, yeah.

Let me go to something now. Let's go to Mr. Howton. What did Mr. Howton add to this case that we suggest substantiates a reasonable doubt theory in this case. He verified for us that he had a witness who came in the morning after it happened and was asked if he could identify the person who did this -- committed this crime. This photo lineup was used, and a person named Bryan Thomas identified that individual right there as being the shooter. Remember the report was he positively identified him. That's Darryl That's the person who was at the Foggy.

I will go back to that in a few minutes when I have a little theory I want to give you about this case. But also he did some other things that I think I have

scene that night.

already alluded to, the fact that he noted that there was -- I made a reference that he -- a reference that said there was an open question about why Eric Stewart had gone and hidden the gun. I think Eric did in fact hide the gun or participate in hiding it.

Another place that Mr. Howton contributed to this issue of what really happened or is this case against Darryl Joyce that strong, he also took a little bit away from Ebony Judkins' testimony. She was trying to be so emphatic that she was trying to place Darryl Foggy back in her apartment at the time she heard the shots but she didn't particularly make that so clear in her statement.

But we asked Mr. Howton, the last witness we called, the only witness we called, to go back to his incident report, and he said that she had told him that she came out and saw Eric Stewart, a/k/a Rabbit, and Darryl Foggy, a/k/a D, fleeing from the scene. That's an odd way to put it if he had just been inside with her. It

б

just sort of creates an issue as to -there was a real possibility that Darryl
Foggy was out there when the action was
going on and she is just now covering for
him as a matter of friendship or loyalty to
him.

There is not a single photo
lineup like this in this case that
identifies Darryl Joyce being the shooter
in this case. There are some people that
identify him as being a person but nobody
picked him out of a six-person lineup like
that and said he did it. The state is not
offering one of these with Darryl Joyce's
picture in it.

Let me tell y'all, as I close my statement to you, that I think if I -- I haven't drawn this yet so I'm going to give it a shot. I'm going to characterize this case as being an incomplete or sort of a curtailed investigation. Let me see if I can represent this -- I should have already drawn it, but I'm going to do it if I can. I'm going to think of the investigation of this case was sort of like a travel or

going down something like maybe a highway from the standpoint of trying to get from point A, which is the -- when the event occurred, when James Friendly was killed, and trying to get to -- I'm going to call it an S for solution.

Here is what I think the state did in its course. It went along for a while. Then right after the event occurred -- of course, you have the possibility of where it branches like this in the course of the investigation, and it might branch even more times. It might branch again and it might be another branch over here, and the size or the shape of the branches I'm not trying to emphasize because there are multiple suspects.

What I think happened is when this case got going -- let me correct that just a little bit -- the state had a -- or the investigation in this case led directly at one point to Darryl Foggy by an eye witness who said that he positively identified this person as being a suspect -- being the person who perpetrated the

crime, who actually did it.

and we still have it with us today. But when these other people who had, we will submit, reasons to possibly protect him came along, the state went up this path which they say tries to lead to Darryl Joyce. And what they did was, whatever reason -- you know, they weren't satisfied they had an eye witness who said they did it. They just cut this off. This just sort of goes like that, boom.

Then they go down this path and they try to stay on this path to hope Darryl Joyce did it but on what grounds or what evidence? The very weak evidence of Eric Stewart who was a druggy, a convicted felon, and then possibly one other witness, Mr. Osborne, who has some serious inconsistencies and conflicts in his statement. And that's all they have got.

But they never went very far down this path. In other words, once they got -- they had it sort of as a possibility or a probability that this man was involved in

the case, and it just stopped right there.

Boom. And the only way it comes back up

again is because I bring it back into this

case about Darryl Foggy who had a gun.

Apparently, he is the kind of

Apparently, he is the kind of person who would be involved in a crime like this. And how do we know that this gun is not a decoy gun or a fake gun against somebody to give somebody an alibi. How do we know that this wasn't a plan, a false gun planted.

Are you sure -- Eric Stewart sure volunteered it mighty quickly. He sure came up with it in a heartbeat. We'll lead you to a gun, a decoy gun. Not the gun that committed the felony but, hey, it sure gave Darryl Foggy an out. So why did he even tell about it if it was zero or immaterial to the case.

So mainly the state's case failed because they didn't pursue this branch of their course of conduct and focused too narrowly on this branch.

Now I submit to you that that creates reasonable doubt, serious

reasonable doubt that Darryl Joyce did not commit that crime. He had no motive. I know the state said it was all about an argument. Well, we don't know who was arguing with who out there because of these pictures, State's Exhibit Number 1 and State's Exhibit Number 5.

And by the way, there is a -some evidence in this case that somebody
was cleaning the gun shells off the ground
out there after it happened. It wasn't my
client, he was gone. You know, there is
more to this story that has been found or
has been told and it is not sufficient to
carry this case to the verdict that the
state is going to ask you for. Too many
unanswered questions, too many mysterious
deadends that don't go anywhere and don't
resolve themselves.

And I think very importantly the fact that the two Osbornes got the shooting on the wrong side of the -- on opposite sides of this little alley way. And that's -- in and of itself it appears to be insignificant but it is not because you

have got to think about it, which one was on which side of the vehicle. The vehicle was backed in, what perspective would the driver have, what perspective the passenger would have, and how much light did they have to see what they said they saw.

A big discrepancy on how many people. Brian Osborne was essentially positive there were three and his brother was essentially positive there were four or five people out there. I believe you probably clearly understand my argument. I submit to you that the jury -- that this jury -- you should find Mr. Darryl Joyce not guilty.

We want again to say that we appreciate you being the jury. If we have done anything during the course of the trial -- if we jump up and object sometimes, and we have to, we are not trying to be as bad as you might think we are. It is what we think the legal rules require and that kind of thing. So if we did anything that looked awkward or inappropriate, hold that against me, not

2 5

against him. He has exercised his right not to testify.

I think that's all I wanted to say. I might glance at my notes but that's really about all I can think of in this case from the evidence. You have heard it, and I will leave it with you, but I will ask you to find Darryl Joyce not guilty.

MR. POWELL: Mr. Hartley and I certainly agree that at the initial stage of this investigation there was a fork in the road. The case of the two Darryls, if you will. They interviewed one set of witnesses and they were all identifying Poncho or Darryl Joyce.

There was some indication that another Darryl was at the party, and another Darryl may have had a gun. So let's look at what the police did. First off, the only person -- I mean, there is no dispute that Darryl Foggy was at this party. I don't think anybody is arguing that. But has a single witness in this case ever said that Darryl Foggy was outside or that he was in any manner

involved in this incident. There has been absolutely no testimony from this witness stand about that at all.

named Bryant Thomas identified Darryl Foggy from a photo lineup. When you are deciding and discussing this in the back, I want you to ask some questions. Where was Darryl --where was Bryant Thomas when the incident occurred? Where was he standing? Was he even still at the party?

testimony, indicated that he was there but he left. Was he saying that he was the shooter or was he saying that he was at the party? We know nothing about Bryant Thomas other than he identified someone named Darryl Foggy from a photo lineup.

There is no evidence about his vantage point, where he was standing, what he saw, nothing. Yet the defense wants you to base a reasonable doubt on unanswered questions and innuendo that is not based on evidence.

What about Nicole Judkins? What

1 did she say? She hosted a party. She saw 2 Darryl Foggy there. She saw him with the 3 gun. She didn't think he was involved in the shooting, and he elicited some 5 testimony from Detective Howton that after 6 everything was over with he saw Eric 7 Stewart and Foggy out there with the body 8 and they left. 9 Well, that is entirely possible. I think all the other witnesses indicated 10 11 after it was over with, Eric Stewart 12 remained with the body until the paramedics 13 got there and he left. That is not 14 evidence that Darryl Foggy shot anybody. 15 But nonetheless, Detective Howton had his 16 name. He had the name of Darryl Joyce and he had the name of Darryl Foggy. 17 18 So what did he do? Did he just 19 stop the investigation as Mr. Hartley 20 indicates? No. He wanted to know, okay, 21 if he had a gun at the party that night, 22 where is the gun? Where is this hidden gun 23 the defense has talked about so much?

It is across the street, members of the jury. It is not hidden. It is in

24

25

2 5

an apartment directly across the street from where the shooting happened. Now, if you were going to hide a gun, does that make a whole lot of sense to leave it within ten yards of where the shooting occurred.

Detective Howton is able to get this gun. This is the gun in question, State's 21. There has been no evidence to the contrary. Mr. Hartley got up here and told you some wild theory about a planted gun or a gun used to mislead somebody. That's what Mr. Hartley said in closing argument. Find one witness that said anything to support that. One fact, one shell casing, anything to support that.

Judge Hobbs is going to tell you what Mr. Hartley said, even what I'm saying now, is not evidence. So a wild speculation by the defense attorney. This is the gun. Why does he want you to believe it is not the gun, because Kathy Richart, the forensic expert, tested this gun. She tested this gun against those three shell casings that were picked up at

the scene.

2 1

2.3

After the shooting occurred, they went and picked up the casings that were in that cut where it occurred, regardless of which side it was on. And it didn't match this gun.

When Dr. Bristol performed the autopsy, one of the bullets was still in Boo's body. They got it out. They tested it. It didn't match this gun. The so-called hidden gun theory did not match the evidence. We still, to this day, do not have the murder weapon.

We found Darryl Foggy's gun. We still have not found the gun that was used to kill James Friendly, which makes a whole lot more sense that the person that used a gun to kill someone isn't going to just leave it across the street where the cops can find it. They are going to ditch it where nobody can find it because they don't want it found.

Well, let's talk about what else was and was not found in this case, members of the jury. First off, Darryl Foggy was

2 1

Smiley Court all the way to California if they didn't have the lick of nothing to do with who shot James Friendly. That's evidence. That's evidence of consciousness of guilt, all that running away.

Darryl Foggy never left. They found him right here in Montgomery. They found his gun. They talked to him. The eye witnesses never put him involved, so this fork in the road was a dead end. He didn't do it. The forensic evidence says he didn't do it. The ballistics evidence says he didn't do it. There are no eye witnesses saying he had anything to do with it. Nothing in the case points to Darryl Foggy.

But everything in the case points to Darryl Joyce. Did the police take that fork in the road? You bet they did. They followed it for Foggy and came up with nothing. Came up with evidence that said he didn't do it.

They followed it for Joyce and the more and more and more they found.

Eric Stewart. And if you don't believe

1 1.

Eric Stewart, there is Brian Osborne. If you don't believe Brian Osborne, you got two people telling the same story about an argument and Darryl Joyce pulling a gun. It is hard to make those stories match, members of the jury, if it didn't happen.

Then if that's not enough for you, the shell casings were found in that cut where they said the shooting occurred. Johnny Osborne testified that it happened just the way everyone else said it happened.

In that cut, there was an argument. Darryl Joyce was arguing with Boo over who was the baddest, who was the toughest, who could do this or who could do that, and he was going to prove to James Friendly once and for all who won that argument, and he did it by pulling out a gun and shooting him dead over nothing.

Over nothing.

Now, the last thing I'm going to say to you is the Judge is going to read you the law. He is going to read you the law on intentional murder. That's what

happened in this case, members of the jury. And to meet our burden of proof beyond that reasonable doubt, the same standard that is used in all criminal cases, we have got to prove to you that James Friendly is dead.

I think we know that. He was shot to death. All the evidence in the case points to one person. It doesn't point to Darryl Foggy. It points to this man on trial today, Darryl Joyce. James Friendly is dead.

Number two, that the defendant, Darryl Joyce, caused the death of James Friendly by shooting him with a gun, and that in committing the act which caused the death of James Friendly, the defendant acted with intent. A person acts intentionally when it is his purpose to cause the death of another person. That's technically how the law is going to read and what you are going to hear from the Judge.

The State of Alabama has met every one of those elements. James

б

Friendly is dead. Darryl Joyce did it. We proved he did it beyond a reasonable doubt, and he did it with intent. Members of the jury, he is guilty of intentional murder. Thank you.

of the jury, we are now at the point in the trial where I tell you what the law is regarding the deliberations in this case. Please listen carefully as I explain it to you. It is going to take a little bit of time to get through it.

This case is brought to you by way of an indictment in this case. Let me read the indictment to you real quickly. The State of Alabama, Circuit Court of Montgomery County, November term of 2002, the Grand Jury of said county charges that before the finding of this indictment, Darryl Joyce, whose name is otherwise not known to the Grand Jury, did intentionally cause the death of another person, James Friendly, by shooting him with a gun in violation of section 13A-6-2, Code of Alabama.

2.3

The indictment has no bearing on the guilt or innocence of any person. Keep that in mind. That's just the way that the case gets to this Court. Now, the defendant, to that charge, has pled not guilty. The plea of not guilty places the burden on the State of Alabama to prove by the evidence presented the guilt of Mr. Joyce beyond a reasonable doubt.

Before a conviction can be had, each of you must be satisfied beyond a reasonable doubt of Mr. Joyce's guilt.

Otherwise, he is entitled to an acquittal.

We've talked about the presumption of innocence.

The defendant is presumed to be innocent of the offense of murder and that presumption attends him until his guilt is established from the evidence beyond a reasonable doubt. This presumption is evidence in the case. It is to be considered by you along with the other evidence in the case. It is a fact which is to be considered by you and goes with the defendant to your verdict unless the

2 1

evidence convinces you beyond a reasonable doubt of the proof of each and every element of the charge.

We talk about reasonable doubt.

Let me try and explain that for you. The state's burden of proof in this case is a stricter, heavy burden but it is not necessary that the defendant's guilt be proved beyond all possible doubt. It is only required that the state's proof exclude any reasonable doubt concerning the defendant's guilt.

A reasonable doubt is a real doubt based upon reason and common sense after careful and partial consideration of all the evidence in the case. Proof beyond a reasonable doubt, therefore, is proof of such a convincing character that you would be willing to rely and act upon it without hesitation in most important of your own affairs. If you are convinced the defendant has been proved guilty beyond a reasonable doubt, say so. If you are not convinced, say so.

As I told you at the beginning of

this case, y'all are the sole judges of the evidence. I am going to explain to you again what is and is not evidence. The indictment that I read to you is not evidence. The arguments, statements and assertions of the attorneys in this case are not evidence. Any rulings that I make in this case are not evidence. Please don't get caught up in, well, I think the Judge is leaning this way or he overruled that side's objection, he must be favoring -- hu-huh. I'm like the referee. I'm totally neutral in this case.

Please don't speculate as to what might have happened if I had allowed in some evidence or I had not overruled -- overruled an objection or something like that because that gets us back into speculation. We want you to decide the case based on the evidence. The evidence in the case is the testimony of witnesses from the witness stand. It is what you heard from the witness stand by people under oath. Okay? It is also any exhibits that we allowed into the case. Finally, it

is the presumption of innocence. We have talked about that.

Your job -- one of your jobs in this case will be to try and decide what the evidence in the case is. It is to decide the credibility of the witnesses in the case. You are the sole exclusive judges of the credibility of witnesses and the weight that should be given to their testimony.

In deciding to pass on the credibility of a witness, you have the right to consider, number one, any bias, interest or prejudice that may have been exhibited to you while that witness testified. Number two, the demeanor of the witness on the stand, that is, how did they appear to act while they testified. Number three, the witness's basis for testifying, that is, how did that witness know the facts that he or she testified to, whether they had an opportunity to observe and discern and know those facts.

You may accept or reject any part of the testimony of a witness and you

2 5

should accept only that part of the testimony that you consider worthy of belief. In other words, if you think a witness was not being completely candid with you, you can accept that part that you think the witness was being candid or you can reject the witness's testimony in its entirety. That's up to you to decide.

The defendant in this case has chosen not to take the stand. As I told you earlier, he is presumed to be innocent and he is not required to prove his innocence and he is not required to take the witness stand. He has a constitutional right not to testify in this case, and you should not infer anything prejudicial whatsoever because he has not testified.

I charge you that flight from the scene can be inferred by you as a guilty state of mind. Let me talk to you a little bit about the elements of intentional murder. Mr. Joyce is charged with murder. A person commits the crime of murder if he causes the death of another person and in performing the act or acts which caused the

death of that person, he intends to kill that person. To convict, the state must prove beyond a reasonable doubt each of the following elements of murder: Number one, that Mr. Friendly is dead. Number two, that Mr. Joyce caused the death of Mr. Friendly by shooting him; and number three, that in committing the act which caused the death of Mr. Friendly, Mr. Joyce acted with intent.

A person acts intentionally when it is his purpose to cause the death of another person. If you find from the evidence that the state has proved beyond a reasonable doubt each of the above elements of the offense of murder as charged then you shall find the defendant guilty of murder. If you find that the state has failed to prove beyond a reasonable doubt any one or more of the elements of the offense of murder, then you cannot find the defendant guilty of murder.

In a moment we are going to ask you to go back here in this jury deliberation room and begin your

2 5

deliberations. When you consider the evidence in reaching your verdict, you have the right to use your knowledge of people and their affairs. That's what we call common sense. It is more than your right to use your common sense. We ask you to please use your common sense. That's why we've got y'all here to begin with.

Do not let sympathy, prejudice or emotion influence your verdict. Do not base your verdict upon any preconceived ideas of what would be a popular or unpopular verdict. As you know, your verdict must strictly be based on the evidence presented and the law that applies to the case.

Also, I want to explain to you that before you can reach a verdict, all twelve of you must agree on that verdict. It cannot be a split verdict, it must be unanimous. In a moment when you go back to the jury room, the first thing you need to do is select a person to act as your foreperson. That person has no greater weight in your deliberations than anyone

else. That person is simply going to act as your spokesperson.

have taken notes, and that's fine. I encourage that. I have no problem with that. Just because someone has something written down as a note doesn't mean that that's exactly the way it happened. Rely on -- each of y'all has a say on what goes on back there. If your recollection differs from someone else's notes, the fact that someone took a note doesn't necessarily make it so. Y'all need to talk it out and arrive at the true facts of the case.

In the course of your

deliberations you may have a question. If

you do so, knock on this door that is

around on this side. Someone from my

office will come answer the door. Write

down your question on a piece of paper.

We'll do our very best to answer the

question. I can promise you one thing, we

won't ignore your question. However, I

don't think I can promise -- I may not be

able to answer your question. Let me just kind of give you this little test. If it is a question about the facts of the case, what a witness said or something like that, I probably will not be able to help you. That is your job to decide the facts. If it is a question about the law, I may well be able to help you. My role is more with the law. But if you have a question, write it down on a piece of paper, knock on door. We'll come and get you.

When you reach a verdict, have your -- knock on the door. We'll come and get you. Have your -- you need to fill out this verdict form. The verdict form -- I don't know how well you can see this, but it says, we, the jury, find the defendant guilty of intentional murder as charged in the indictment. Or, second part. We the jury find the defendant not guilty. Check here if you find the defendant not guilty, here if you find the defendant not guilty. Have your foreperson print his name. The foreperson signs it. Dates it. Knock on this door. Again, we'll come and get you.

One last thing I have got to do before we let you begin your deliberations. I keep talking about it has got to be unanimous. All twelve of you have to agree. You may have noticed we have thirteen people here today.

Mr. Carr, you are our alternate juror. I always feel badly for the alternate juror. He has to sit there and hear all the evidence. You get right up to the brink of actually being able to do something in the case and we pull you back and we won't let you decide. However, some people are happy at that point. They don't have to make that decision.

The reason we put an alternate on the jury is you never know when someone is going to have a family emergency or become ill or something. If that were to happen, we would have to start all over. So that's why we have an alternate. I hope you understand, and we do appreciate your being here. I'm going to send you back. Let you begin your deliberations. Ms. Shelton will bring the exhibits back to you in just a

second. 1 (The jury begins their 2 deliberations.) 3 THE COURT: Just note on the record the parties -- both parties are 5 satisfied with the charge. 6 7 (Brief Recess.) (The following proceedings were 8 held outside the presence and hearing of 9 10 the jury.) THE COURT: Let me have 11 everybody's attention while we are waiting 12 13 for everybody to get here. When the jury gives their verdict, I don't want there to 14 15 be any outbursts in this courtroom. 16 don't want there to be any noise. I don't 17 want there to be any amens, hallelujahs or 18 regrets or anything else. If you cannot 19 restrain yourself when the verdict is going 20 to be read, go ahead and leave now. 21 Otherwise, we are going to be spending some 22 extra time with you this afternoon. 23 When the verdict is read, the 24 Friendly family and their friends are going 25 to leave the courtroom first. Then we are

```
1
           going to let the jury leave. Then we will
           let the Joyce family leave. I'm not going
 2
           to have altercations or anything in this
 3
           courthouse. If there is anybody that can't
 4
5
           handle that, leave now and get out of the
           courthouse. Okay?
6
7
                     (The jury enters the courtroom.)
                     THE BAILIFF: Be seated.
 8
9
                     THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen,
10
           I understand y'all have reached a verdict?
11
                     THE FOREPERSON: Yes, sir, we
12
           have.
13
                     THE COURT: I will read the
14
           verdict form that you just handed me. In
           the Circuit Court of Montgomery County,
15
16
           Alabama, the State of Alabama versus
17
           defendant Darryl Joyce. We the jury find
           the defendant guilty of intentional murder
18
19
           as charged in the indictment. Is that
20
           y'all's verdict?
21
                     THE FOREPERSON: Yes, it is, Your
22
           Honor.
23
                     THE COURT: Okay. MR. HARTLEY:
           We ask for a poll of the jurors.
24
25
                     (At which time the Court polls
```

the jury.) 1 THE COURT: The Court will enter 2 3 an adjudication of guilty on the charge of murder in this case. Ms. Friendly, if you 4 want to leave with your family and friends 5 6 right now, I would appreciate that. 7 (Parties leaving the courtroom.) THE COURT: Members of the jury, 8 9 I appreciate your service. It was a 10 difficult case, I understand. I appreciate y'all hanging in there with us these two 11 12 days. The only good news I can give you is 13 you are released for the rest of this day. 14 You need to call code-a-phone tonight and 15 they will let you know if you need to be 16 back in the morning or not. 17 Again, every Judge, everybody 18 that works here at the courthouse deeply 19 appreciates y'all doing your civic duty of 20 coming down here and giving us your time. 21 Thank you. If y'all will go back this way, the deputy will take y'all out of the 22 23 courthouse. 24 (The jurors exit the courtroom.) 25 THE COURT: Wiley, you need to

apply for a presentence report, and we will do the sentencing on August 18th. MR. POWELL: Judge, did you accept the verdict and adjudicate Mr. Joyce guilty? THE COURT: Yes, I did. MR. POWELL: At this point, just for the Record, the State is putting the Court on notice of three prior felony convictions for the purpose of the Habitual Felony Offender Act. THE COURT: Anything else here today? The Court is in recess. (COURT ADJOURNED.) 

1	IN THE FIFTEENTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT IN AND FOR MONTGOMERY COUNTY
2	MONTGOMERY, ALABAMA
3	
4	
5	STATE OF ALABAMA,
6	Plaintiff,
7	VS. CRIMINAL ACTION
8	DARRYL J. JOYCE, NO. 02-1417
9	Defendant.
10	/
11	COURT REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT OF SENTENCING
12	AUGUST 18, 2003
13	MONTGOMERY COUNTY COURTHOUSE
14	COURTROOM 3-A
15	
16	BEFORE: THE HON. TRUMAN M. HOBBS, JR.
17	CIRCUIT JUDGE
18	
19	APPEARANCES
2 0	FOR THE STATE:
21	WILLIAM POWELL, ESQUIRE DEPUTY DISTRICT ATTORNEY
2 2	MONTGOMERY, ALABAMA
2 3	FOR THE DEFENDANT: J. WILEY HARTLEY, ESQUIRE
2 4	MONTGOMERY, ALABAMA
2 5	

1 THE COURT: Wiley, do you want 2 a formal sentencing hearing? 3 MR. HARTLEY: Judge, this might 5 rise to the level of a formal sentencing 6 hearing. We want to have an opportunity 7 for Mr. Joyce to speak if he is inclined but we definitely want to have some 8 9 testimony from his mother -- and I'm 10 sorry, I will have to get you to 11 introduce this person to the Court, if 12 you would. 13 MS. PATTERSON: I'm Pat 14 Patterson. I'm his aunt. 15 MR. HARTLEY: Yes, Judge. We 16 do want to take these people. If you 17 want to put them under oath or however 18 you want to proceed, Judge. 19 Judge, what I would like to 20 mention on behalf of Mr. Joyce is that 21 the Court, I presume, has looked at this 22 presentence report, which reflects part 2.3 of Mr. Joyce's record. We submit to the 24 Court that of interest here, Judge, is 25 that I believe he has had no convictions

for anything other than class C 1 felonies. The assault seconds on this 2 record are class C's. 3 I don't know what the State 4 might say about those cases but an 5 6 assault second is always a class C 7 felony. Some of those original charges -- one of them was disposed of by a 8 conviction of a misdemeanor. So it 9 wasn't a class C felony when it was done. 10 11 That was a 1997 case. We ask that the Court take all 12 the matters into consideration. When we 13 hear from his mother and from his aunt, 14 that the Court only consider the 15 alternative for life in this case. 16 think that would be -- I think that would 17 be the correct sentence for Mr. Joyce 18 under the circumstances. I would like to 19 let her speak, Ms. Ruby Joyce. 20 MS. JOYCE: Judge, I would just 21

22

23

24

25

MS. JOYCE: Judge, I would just like to take this time to just really put my son's life in your hands, on the mercy of the Court. He is the only son I have. I was married to his father for

1	twenty-seven years. His father is now
2	deceased. He died while he was in jail.
3	He has been dead now six years.
4	He has two daughters, one ten
5	and one eight. I just would like to put
6	myself and my son and the family on the
7	Court's mercy.
8	THE COURT: Thank you, ma'am.
9	MR. HARTLEY: Ms. Patterson,
10	you want to say anything?
11	MS. PATTERSON: Good morning.
12	How are you doing? I would just like to
1 3	appeal for a life sentence. I am aware
1 4	of his past. All things considered, I
15	would really appreciate some mercy.
16	THE COURT: Okay.
17	MR. POWELL: Judge, first of
18	all, the victim's family is present.
19	They just are going to choose to remain
2 0	seated there because of the emotion
21	involved in the case.
2 2	We have a victim's impact
2 3	statement. Does Your Honor have one in
2 4	the file? If not, I would be happy to
2 5	provide you my copy.

```
1
                      THE COURT: I don't.
 2
                      MR. POWELL: Here, Your Honor.
 3
                      MR. HARTLEY: We would like to
 4
           get a copy.
 5
                      MR. POWELL: We can arrange for
 6
           that, Judge. The main thing I would like
 7
           to address at sentencing, Judge, is --
 8
                      (Off-the-Record Discussion.)
 9
                      THE COURT: Let's just take a
10
           two-minute break. Let's make sure
11
           everybody has got everything.
12
                      (Brief Recess.)
13
                      THE COURT: Okay. I have read
14
           these letters. I appreciate that.
15
                     MR. POWELL: Judge, the main
16
           thing I want to address at this point is
17
           the Defendant's record. Though Mr.
18
           Hartley was correct that all of the
19
           charges or most of them were either class
20
           C misdemeanors or felonies or a
21
           misdemeanor -- class C felonies or
22
           misdemeanors, they all involve offenses
23
           where the defendant was shooting at
           people, at vehicles, or actually shooting
24
25
           people.
```

It is just the way the assault statutes are written in the State of Alabama, particularly until recently until they changed the law on how you define serious physical injury. Back then it basically had to be life threatening. And if you just shoot somebody in the leg or the arm or something like that, then that's just a class C felony.

But nonetheless, the first offense occurred on 10/15 of 1994. The victim is Quivan (sic) Martin. Stand up, Quivan. This individual, they were at an intersection of Bitner and Sherwood, and the defendant fired a .32 revolver at the victim while the victim was inside his '79 Olds Cutlass.

I think the defendant then gave a statement in that case and said that he shot four times and scared the victim. Hit the windshield and ran and disposed of his gun by giving it to a junky.

The next incident in this case was nol-prossed but it was part of a

1 package deal with the prior case. On 2 11/7 of '94 he again had an altercation 3 with Mr. Martin. The victim, Mr. Martin, was leaving the Hardee's restaurant over 4 5 around Oak and Mill Street. The 6 defendant and several others followed. 7 One of them was hanging out the window 8 shooting. Five shots were fired at the victim's Olds Cutlass in that case. 9 10 MR. HARTLEY: Your Honor, can I 11 interject -- I mean interrupt and ask Mr. 12 Powell to distinguish these by case 13 numbers so we will know exactly where we 14 are. The first one -- go back and cite 15 for us, please, the case number on the 16 first one. 17 MR. POWELL: The first incident 18 where he shot at Mr. Martin's car was 19 CC 94-2506. Here is my certified prior 20 felony conviction on that one if you want 21 to look at it. The second incident 22 involving Mr. Martin was CC 95 -- looks 23 like 132. 24 THE COURT: Is this the one 25 that was nol-prossed?

1 MR. POWELL: This is the one 2 that was nol-prossed. 3 THE COURT: I can't consider 4 one that has been nol-prossed. Let's 5 don't even go there. That just creates a problem for everybody. 6 7 MR. POWELL: The next incident 8 -- and this is going to be CC 96-1980. 9 It is an assault in the second degree. 10 The victim in that case was an individual 11 named Johnny Lawson, Jr. Here is our 12 certified on that one. The facts in this 13 case are markedly similar to the facts in 14 the case you heard at trial, Judge. 15 Basically, the victim and the 16 defendant were in an argument. The 17 defendant just pulled out a gun and shot 18 the victim. The victim ran and the 19 defendant shot him again in the leq. 20 There were several witnesses, and he pled 21 guilty to that count. 22 The next incident -- looks like 23 it is going to be CC 97-1984 and 1985. 24 These were felony convictions for assault 25

in the second degree. That involved an

1	individual named Henry Green and Pleasant
2	Polanski. There the defendant and the
3	victims were all stopped at the same red
4	light. Apparently words were exchanged
5	between the cars, and the defendant
6	pulled a gun and shot at the car five to
7	six times hitting both of the victims in
8	the process. He pled guilty to that and
9	received a sentence of fifteen years
10	split to serve three.
11	The final case these were
1 2	the assault seconds that were pled out to
13	misdemeanors, were CC 97-2031 and
1 4	97-2053. I have got certifieds on them.
15	MR. HARTLEY: They are just
16	misdemeanors, Judge. They are not
1 7	priors.
18	MR. POWELL: We are not
19	offering them as priors. We have already
2 0	got three priors, but he can consider
21	them for sentencing purposes. They were
2 2	reduced to misdemeanors.
2 3	In that case, the victims were
2 4	Tawan Brown and Steven Stewart. They are

driving through the Windy Wood

2 5

1 Apartments. The defendant was driving in 2 the opposite direction. They passed each other at a speed bump, and the defendant 3 4 shot at the victim's car. He shot Mr. Stewart in the face and leg and he shot 5 6 Mr. Brown in the shoulder. I think those 7 were pled out in a package deal with the 8 prior two felony convictions with Mr. 9 Green and Mr. Polanski. 10 So, basically, if you look at 11 the defendant's priors, Judge, we have 12 got a progressive pattern from 13 discharging a gun into occupied vehicles, 14 to shooting at people, to actually 15 shooting people on one, two, three, four, 16 five people he has actually shot --17 actually bullets hit them and one person 18 he shot at. 19 That's before we even get to 20 this case you heard at trial, which he

21

22

23

24

25

this case you heard at trial, which he finally lost his temper, or whatever you want to call it out there, shot and killed Mr. Friendly for basically no apparent reason whatsoever. At this point --

1		THE COURT: Am I correct he was
2	on probati	on at the time he
3		MR. POWELL: That would be
4	accurate,	Judge.
5		THE COURT: How long had he
6	been out?	
7		MR. POWELL: That, I'm not
8	sure. It	hadn't been long.
9		THE COURT: Yeah.
10		MR. POWELL: I can't remember
11	what the w	vitnesses told me.
12		THE COURT: Wiley, anything
13	y'all want	to say?
1 4		MR. HARTLEY: Mr. Joyce wants
15	to speak,	Judge.
16		THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your
17	Honor. Yo	our Honor, I did that. I did
18	all that.	Some of the issues I was
19	trying to	get Mr. Hartley to speak at
2 0	trial, he	said he couldn't bring forth
21	because I	didn't take the stand with it.
2 2	I didn't k	till the dude and ran to
2 3	California	a. I seen the dude. I know who
2 4	killed the	e dude. When he was killed, me
2 5	and my hom	ne boy, we left.

1 THE COURT: I'm sorry. What 2 did you say? 3 THE DEFENDANT: When the dude was killed, the dude I was with, we 4 5 Some dudes called on my cell 6 phone, which the only dude that have my 7 cell phone, right? That's the only dude I know. And I met D. . . 8 (unintelligible). When D called my cell 9 phone said if you and your home boy talk 10 11 to the police in any kind of way, you'll 12 end up like Boo or somebody close to 13 you. I ain't trying to make up no lies. 14 It scared me for my folks. I thought the 15 best thing for me to do is just leave. I 16 told them on the phone, I said, look, 17 man, y'all ain't got to worry about me 18 because I'm gone. Plus, I just got out of prison five years. I did five years. 19 20 I left for the safety of my folks in case 21 their house didn't got shot up. 22 A few years ago, I catch 23 another case or maybe somebody kill me. 24 That's the reason I left. I ain't get in 25 no argument with no dude about who the

1 baddest. The argument was about some 2 money. Boo and Rabbit was arguing about 3 money. Boo told Rabbit, man, you going 4 to take my mother fuckin' money, like 5 that. I was trying to break them up. I 6 thought Boo was from Smiley Court. They 7 were still arquing. 8 I told -- I told Boo -- I told 9 Boo, I said, look, man, whatever he -- I 10 know now he had some stuff. I said, man, 11 whatever you owe him give it back to 12 He said no, fuck that. It's the 13 principle. He got me fucked up. He 14 ain't going to take my shit like that. 15 Boo said, you know, I'll come back and 16 shut this bitch down. Rabbit said, you 17 ain't gonna shut shit down in Smiley 18 Court. 19 THE COURT: Mr. Joyce, I will 20 listen to whatever you have got to say 21 but I don't decide your guilt or 22 innocence. 2 3 THE DEFENDANT: It's the facts 24 that Mr. Hartley couldn't bring up that I 25

was telling him.

1 THE COURT: The jury found you 2 guilty. I've got to respect that 3 verdict. I can't substitute my judgment for the jury's verdict. 5 THE DEFENDANT: I ain't shoot 6 the man, Mr. Hobbs. 7 THE COURT: I can't -- the jury 8 says you did. As far as I'm concerned --9 THE DEFENDANT: The dude that 10 came with me -- excuse me. The dude that 11 came with him said who shot him. Bryant 12 Thomas told the detective who shot him. 13 The gun was in the courtroom. That's the 14 gun Rabbit had. I was stopping Rabbit 15 from shooting me. He told D to shoot 16 Boo. Boo was shooting at Bryant Thomas. 17 THE COURT: Anything else? 18 THE DEFENDANT: Then the girl 19 in the corner said he was in the house 20 but first she said he fled the scene. I 21 ain't shoot the dude. The only thing I 22 knew -- I didn't shoot the dude. I know 23 who did it. 24 THE COURT: I understand you 25 are saying you are innocent.

1 THE DEFENDANT: I ain't fixing 2 to -- ain't no way in the world I am 3 going to walk up to somebody I don't know 4 and say I'm badder than you. I didn't 5 even know the man. I was trying to break them up. I didn't want to be around no 6 trouble. I just got out of prison. 7 THE COURT: Anything else? 8 9 MR. HARTLEY: Judge, if Mr. 10 Joyce wants to say more, I want him to 11 have every opportunity to speak to the 12 Court. Judge, I would say that we would 13 ask you to consider the life sentence 14 because even at his best hope he will serve a very, very, very long time on a 15 16 life sentence. We ask the Court not to 17 take away that twenty years from now or 18 thirty years from now he might make a 19 parole date. That's all we are asking 2 0 because the finality of a life without 21 parole sentence, Judge, we believe 22 doesn't fit this case. 23 MR. POWELL: Your Honor, I just 24 remind you of the names of Quivan Martin, 25 Johnny Lawson --

1 THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, I 2 did that. 3 MR. POWELL: -- Henry Green, 4 Pleasant Polanski, Tawan Brown, Steven 5 Stewart, and now James Friendly. Enough 6 is enough. 7 THE DEFENDANT: Your Honor, I 8 did that. I was young. I did that, but if you know the issues behind that, it 9 10 was either me or them. I didn't kill 11 this dude here. Like I said, I know who 12 killed him. I seen who killed him. I was right there. B.K., he say -- he got 13 14 on the stand. He said he didn't know the 15 dude but him and Rabbit, they grew up together. I know D, so I know you know 16 17 him. The girl said when she came outside 18 they fled the scene. Then she come to 19 court and say he was in the house when 20 she went outside. Rabbit said he was 21 across the street. 22 THE COURT: The problem in this 23 whole thing, you got two guys -- what I 24 remember the testimony being, it is 25 pretty clear to me, both in gangs. I'm

1	not going to consider that for the
2	sentencing but, you know, I don't see any
3	thirty-five year old gangsters in the
4	courtroom. They are either dead like Boo
5	or they are standing where you are.
6	That's the problem here is guns.
7	Shooting has just gotten to be a way of
8	life with some folks.
9	I'm sorry, Ms. Joyce. I don't
10	know what got your son headed down this
11	path but that's the path he chose.
12	Sometimes I don't follow the
13	prosecution. Sometimes I strongly
14	disagree with them. But, you know, you
15	shot too many people, man.
16	THE DEFENDANT: Judge, if you
17	just knowed everything. In your
18	courtroom, the dude was lying on me.

just say this.

my composure.

THE COURT: Mr. Joyce, let me

THE DEFENDANT: I was holding

THE COURT: I had a previous

case. The jury found the guy -- a very

serious crime. They found him guilty of

19

20

21

22

23

24

2 5

1

a lesser crime. If they found him guilty

_	a resser crime. It they round him guirty
2	of the serious crimes, I would have
3	thrown the book at him but I couldn't do
4	it because I had to respect their verdict
5	when they found him guilty of relatively
6	lesser crimes.
7	But the jury has found you
8	guilty. I can't do anything about that.
9	I have to believe I have to sit up
10	here and accept their jury verdict even
11	if I disagreed with it, which I don't.
12	They found you guilty. And that's what
13	I'm looking at now. You've shot at four
1 4	or five people. Now, you killed a man.
15	THE DEFENDANT: I didn't kill
16	that dude.
17	THE COURT: Well, I think you
18	did. The jury sure thought you did.
19	THE DEFENDANT: The only reason
2 0	I was in California he never said why
21	I was in California.
2 2	THE COURT: I don't think being
2 3	in California helped you one bit.
2 4	THE DEFENDANT: The dude if
2 5	me and you go to a party and somebody

1	kill me, I know you know who killed me.
2	THE COURT: If I go to a party,
3	I'm not going to carry a gun and I'm not
4	going to be at a party calling people
5	using the kind of language you've
6	just used in my courtroom.
7	THE DEFENDANT: I'm just
8	speaking from the heart.
9	THE COURT: Mr. Joyce, you have
1 0	got to learn to turn and walk away.
11	THE DEFENDANT: I apologize,
12	Judge, for cussing.
13	THE COURT: Don't apologize to
14	me.
15	THE DEFENDANT: I ain't killed
16	all Ms. Friendly, I ain't killed your
17	son.
18	MS. FRIENDLY: D said you did.
19	I don't
2 0	THE COURT: We are not going
2 1	there. I'm going to sentence you to life
2 2	without parole. I'll order you to pay
2 3	fifty dollars to the Crime Victims
2 4	Compensation Fund.
2 5	THE DEFENDANT: What, Your

1 Honor? 2 THE COURT: Restitution in the 3 amount of? MR. POWELL: The restitution 5 totals seven thousand six hundred and 6 seventy-five dollars and fifty-one cents. 7 THE COURT: All right. Restitution in that amount. Court 8 9 costs. A hundred and fifty dollars 10 attorney fee. You have a right to appeal 11 your conviction and your sentence. We 12 will appoint an attorney for you if you can't afford one. We'll give you a 13 14 transcript of the proceedings free of 15 charge. 16 MR. HARTLEY: Judge, he does 17 give notice of appeal, both the sentence 18 and the trial -- and the conviction, 19 Judge. We ask that the Court appoint him 20 a counsel on appeal, provide him with a 21 transcript. 22 THE COURT: You need to enter a 23 piece of paper withdrawing. 24 MR. HARTLEY: Yes. I will make 25 a motion to withdraw. I know you always

1	appoint.
2	MR. POWELL: Are there any
3	fines or attorneys fees in this case?
4	THE COURT: I said a hundred
5	fifty dollar attorney fee, I believe.
6	Fifty dollars Crime Victims Compensation
7	Fund.
8	MR. HARTLEY: Judge, I have
9	gone over this with my client, but I
10	think we need to make a record on this.
11	We ask for an appeal bond, Judge.
12	THE COURT: I'm not going to
13	give it.
14	MR. POWELL: Besides that,
15	Judge, under the Rules of Criminal
16	Procedure in a sentence of twenty years
1 7	or more, you are ineligible for an appeal
18	bond.
19	MR. HARTLEY: We just want that
2 0	on the Record.
21	THE COURT: I understand. It
21	THE COURT: I understand. It is on the Record. That's it.

1 CERTIFICATE 2 3 STATE OF ALABAMA COUNTY OF MONTGOMERY ) 5 б I, JUDY E. SHELTON, OFFICIAL 7 COURT REPORTER IN AND FOR THE FIFTEENTH 8 JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, MONTGOMERY COUNTY. 9 ALABAMA, DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT I REPORTED IN MACHINE SHORTHAND THE 10 FOREGOING HEARING AS STATED IN THE 11 12 CAPTION HEREOF; THAT MY SHORTHAND NOTES 13 WERE LATER TRANSCRIBED BY ME OR UNDER MY 14 SUPERVISION, AND THAT THE FOREGOING PAGES 15 REPRESENT A FULL, TRUE AND CORRECT TRANSCRIPT OF SAID PROCEEDINGS; THAT I AM 16 17 NEITHER KIN NOR OF COUNSEL TO ANY PARTIES 18 IN THIS PROCEEDING NOR IN ANY WAY INTERESTED IN THE RESULTS THEREOF. 19 DATED THIS THE \_\_\_\_ DAY OF \_\_\_\_, 20 21 2003. 22 23 24 JUDY E. SHELTON 25 OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

1 CERTIFICATE 3 STATE OF ALABAMA COUNTY OF MONTGOMERY ) 5 6 I, JUDY E. SHELTON, OFFICIAL 7 COURT REPORTER IN AND FOR THE FIFTEENTH 8 JUDICIAL CIRCUIT, MONTGOMERY COUNTY, 9 ALABAMA, DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT I 10 REPORTED IN MACHINE SHORTHAND THE 11 FOREGOING HEARING AS STATED IN THE 12 CAPTION HEREOF; THAT MY SHORTHAND NOTES 13 WERE LATER TRANSCRIBED BY ME OR UNDER MY 14 SUPERVISION, AND THAT THE FOREGOING PAGES 15 REPRESENT A FULL, TRUE AND CORRECT 16 TRANSCRIPT OF SAID PROCEEDINGS; THAT I AM 17 NEITHER KIN NOR OF COUNSEL TO ANY PARTIES 18 IN THIS PROCEEDING NOR IN ANY WAY INTERESTED IN THE RESULTS THEREOF. 19 DATED THIS THE 10th DAY OF 101. 20 21 2003. 22 23 24 JUDY E. SHELTON 25

OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER

DOCUMENT NAME: Joyce, Darry/ Jevon  CLIENT & MATTER: 58199-001  DESCRIPTION:  County: Montgomery  CC#s: 2002-1417  Attorney: Jean Therkelsm  Circle: TRANSCRIP CASE FILE BOTH  CERTIFICATION  I hereby certify that the preceding imaged records and documents are a true, accurate, and complete image of the original records or documents as received by the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Alabama.  This the Cto day of Toynuary, 2005.  Signed: Malaa Malaa.	Case 2:05-cv-01009-ID-TFM Document 12-6 Filed 03/07/2006 Page 99 of 9
CLIENT & MATTER: 58   99-00    DESCRIPTION:  County: Montgomery  CC#s: 2002 - 1417  Attorney: Jean Therkelshm  Circle: TRANSCRIP) CASE FILE BOTH  CERTIFICATION  I hereby certify that the preceding imaged records and documents are a true, accurate, and complete image of the original records or documents as received by the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Alabama.  This the 10th 1 at the state of Alabama.	DOCUMENT NAME: Joyce, Darry/ Jevon
County: Montgomery  CC#s: 2002 - 1417  Attorney: Jean Therkelsh  Circle: TRANSCRIP) CASE FILE BOTH  CERTIFICATION  I hereby certify that the preceding imaged records and documents are a true, accurate, and complete image of the original records or documents as received by the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Alabama.  This the 10th 1 certains are a true.	CLIENT & MATTER: 58199-001
CERTIFICATION  I hereby certify that the preceding imaged records and documents are a true, accurate, and complete image of the original records or documents as received by the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Alabama.	County: Montgomery  CC#s: 2002-1417  Attorney: Jean Therkelson  Circle: TRANSCOUNT
I hereby certify that the preceding imaged records and documents are a true, accurate, and complete image of the original records or documents as received by the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Alabama.	3 volumes
are a true, accurate, and complete image of the original records or documents as received by the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Alabama.	CERTIFICATION
are a true, accurate, and complete image of the original records or documents as received by the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Alabama.	I hereby certify that the preceding imaged records and documents
documents as received by the Office of the Attorney General of the State of Alabama.	
This the 10th,	
This the 19th day of January, 2005.  Signed: Melisa G. Martin	the State of Alabama.
Signed: Melisa G. Martin	This the 19th day of Tanuary, 2005.
·	Signed: Melisa a Martin

Coleen F. Gibson Notary Public Commission expires 06/11/06

Notary: Colean 7 Gibson